	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/764,655	ARADHYE, HRISHIKESH B.	
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nathan Bloom	2624	
All Participants:	Status of Application	ı: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) <u>Nathan Bloom</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Kin-Wah Tong</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 3 May 2007	Time: 2:00 pm		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)		
Part I.		,	
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 1-55			
Prior art documents discussed:			
None	•		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Restriction of claims 1-42 and 43-55 based on combination/sub-combination criteria. Kin-Wah Tong elected Group 1 (claims 1-42) with traverse.			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
	•		
AT III			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)	

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/764,655	ARADHYE, HRISHIKESH B.
Examiner-indated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nathan Bloom	2624
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pe</u>	nding
(1) Nathan Bloom.	(3)	
(2) <u>Kin-Wah Tong</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 10 May 2007	Time: <u>11:30 am</u>	
Type of Interview:  Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-55		
Prior art documents discussed:  None	·	
Part II.	. ,	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand.</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner request for cancellation of claims 43-55 to place application in condition for allowance. Examiner further requested amendment of the dependencies of claims 12 and 33 to remove 35 USC 112 issues. Attorney agreed to cancellation of claims 43-55 and the Examiner's amendment to claims 33 and 12 to move dependency from claims 21 and 1 to claims 26 and 5 respectively.